

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

1. Original claims 1 – 10 have been canceled from the application.
2. New claims 11 and 12 have been added to overcome the Examiner's objections and rejections and to more particularly point and distinctly claim the subject matter
5 Applicant regards as his invention.
3. The Examiner rejected original claims 1 – 10 as being unpatentable with regard to Leonovich, Johnson, White et al., Sloan, Fetterly, Holub et al., Blanco and Zeman.
4. New claims 11 and 12 have been added and are patentably distinguishable over the cited references taken singly or in combination, as the prior art does not include all
10 the features of claim 11 as recited.
5. The Examiner's objections to the drawings as cited in Page 2 of the Office action has been obviated as corrections have been added to the specification to overcome the inadvertent discrepancy in reference elements and the elements associated therewith.
6. In view of the above, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of new claims 11
15 and 12 and passage of the case to full issuance. In addition, no new subject matter has been added, and this amendment has been prepared and submitted in a good faith effort to meet the Examiner's objections and rejections as stated in the above-identified Office action.

Respectfully submitted,

20

